

# Challenges

□ Industry is increasingly depending on advanced methods to fulfil the need to innovate in products and services

□ Transition RANS→LES→DNS creates new opportunities for both science applications and computing technologies



#### ~2000 cores at CEMEF

# Context

□ Advantages of cloud computing:

- $\rightarrow$ Less deployment
- $\rightarrow$  High productivity
- $\rightarrow$ Shared resources
- →Environment friendly

 $\rightarrow$ ...<u>cloud-based solver and methods</u>



# <complex-block>



Mines ParisTech: Sophia Antipolis Model name: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2640 0 @ 2.50GHz ~200 cores - 16 nodes (12 cores/nodes)



# Context

Advantages of cloud computing:

- $\rightarrow$ Less deployment
- $\rightarrow$ High productivity
- $\rightarrow$ Shared resources
- →Environment friendly

 $\rightarrow$ ... <u>cloud-based solver and methods</u>

### Adaptive methods

- →Immersed volume method (nurbs, complex geometries...)
- →Space-Time anisotropic mesh adaptation (boundary layers, ...)
- $\rightarrow$ Customized applications
- □ Real world applications (3D, HPC)
   →Fluid Structure Interaction solver
   →Variational multiscale method for turbulent flows



Databas

Visualisatio

MassiveLab: Architecture Globale

and Static

Phone

# Illustrative example

3D Cooling using forced convection of an ingot at 1160  $^\circ\,$  C placed on a grid inside a complex cavity







-Natural convection in 3D
-Radiative heat transfer (P1 model)
-Initial temperature 1160° C
-Navier-Stokes, RANS, Heat Trasnfer

Direct numerical simulation





# Adaptive Methods for Complex CFD problems:



#### **Immersed Stress Method**

Natural extension of the immersed volume method to deal with real FSI
 The desire to easily deal with large diversity of shapes and physical properties
 Make use of the developed flow solver



**Classical Approaches** 

#### Immersed volume method



Levelset representation + Anisotropic Mesh adaptation

#### **Immersed Stress Method**

Natural extension of the immersed volume method to deal with real FSI
 The desire to easily deal with large diversity of shapes and physical properties
 Make use of the developed flow solver



#### **Geomteric Representation**

Computing the distance function: analytical - surface mesh STL - NURBS iges
 LevelSet: sign the distance function

□ Regularize it over a certain thickness and use it to mix the physical properties

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \pm d(\mathbf{x}, \Gamma_{\rm im}), \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$
  

$$\Gamma_{\rm im} = \{\mathbf{x}, \alpha(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}.$$

$$H_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha > \varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\varepsilon}\right) \right) & \text{if } |\alpha| \le \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha < -\varepsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\mu = \mu_f H(\alpha) + \mu_s (1 - H(\alpha))$$
  

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{H(\alpha)}{\lambda_f} + \frac{1 - H(\alpha)}{\lambda_s}\right)^{-1}$$
  

$$\Gamma \colon \alpha(\vec{x}) = 0$$





7



#### Geomteric Representation

Computing the distance function: analytical - surface mesh STL - NURBS iges
 The quality is limited by the given surface mesh
 The cost can increase drastically



# Immersed NURBS

#### **New** Geomteric Representation

□ Computing the distance function: analytical - surface mesh STL - NURBS iges
 ✓ Bypass the generation of the surface mesh
 ✓ Increase the quality



# Immersed NURBS

#### **New** Geomteric Representation [Phd J. Veysset 2011-2014]

 $\hfill\square$  Computing the distance function: analytical - surface mesh STL - NURBS iges

- ✓ Bypass the generation of the surface mesh
- ✓ Increase the quality



**J. Veysset,** E. Hachem, G. Jannoun, T. Coupez, *Immersed NURBS for CFD Applications*, SEMA SIMAI Springer Series, 2014

NURBS Surface Mesh NURBS + Transport ncores 138.102.721 13.372 70.92 6.99 2.23 3.53 4 43.14 2.12 0.7022.30 8 2.03

*E.* Dyllong and W. Luther. Distance calculation between a point and a nurbs surface. In *Curve and Surface Design*, Saint-Malo, 55-62, 1999.

Y.L. Ma and W.T. Hewitt. Point inversion and projection for nurbs curve and surface: Control polygon approach. **Computer Aided Geometric Design**, 20:79–99, 2003.

*E.* Cohen and D. Johnson. Distance extrema for spline models using tangent cones. In **Proceedings of the Graphics Interface** 2005 Conference, May 9-11, 2005, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada, pages 169–175, 2005.

# Mesh Adaptation

#### Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

Enables to capture scale heterogeneities

- Enable to deal with discontinuities or gradients of the solution
- □ Important for boundary layers, shock waves, edge singularities
- □ Alternative to body-fitted mesh for very complex geometry: curvature, sharp angles,...





Numerical Simulation of unsteady flow around an helicopte in forward flight using a monolithic fluid-structure approach with parallel anisotropic mesh adaptation

CimLib 2010

# Dynamic Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

Velocity: 300km/h Number of nodes: 2 millions Numer of cores: 96

#### Improvements

- ✓ Dynamic Mesh adaptation
- ✓ A posteriori error estimator
- ✓ Multi criteria adaptation
- ✓ Control (i.e. number of elements)
- ✓ Error analysis



# Space-Time Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation

#### From front to rear

#### From buttom to top



# Space-Time Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation



E. Hachem, S. Feghali, R. Codina and T. Coupez, **Anisotropic Adaptive Meshing** and Monolithic Variational Multiscale Method for Fluid-Structure Interaction, Computer and Structures, Vol. 122, pp. 88 - 100, 2013

E. Hachem, G. Jannoun, J. Veysset, T. Coupez, On the stabilized finite element method for steady convection-dominated problems with **anisotropic mesh adaptation**, Applied Mathematics & Computation, Vol. 232, pp. 581-594, 2014

T. Coupez and E. Hachem, Solution of High-Reynolds Incompressible Flow with Stabilized Finite Element and **Adaptive Anisotropic Meshing**, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, Vol. 267, pp. 65-85, 2013

#### **Immersed Stress Method**

$$\rho \left(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) - \nabla \cdot \left(2\eta \,\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) + \tau - p \,\mathbf{I}_d\right) = \mathbf{f} \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \ t > 0$$
$$\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \ t > 0$$

E. Hachem, S. Feghali, R. Codina and T. Coupez, Immersed Stress Method for Fluid Structure Interaction, submitted to International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2012





#### **Immersed Stress Method**

$$\rho \left(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) - \nabla \cdot \left(2\eta \,\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}) + \tau - p \,\mathbf{I}_d\right) = \mathbf{f} \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \ t > 0$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \ t > 0$$

E. Hachem, S. Feghali, R. Codina and T. Coupez, Immersed Stress Method for Fluid Structure Interaction, submitted to International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 2012





#### Variational Multiscale Method:

Let us split the velocity, pressure and stress solution spaces as  $V_h \oplus V'$ ,  $P_h \oplus P'$  and  $\mathcal{T}_h \oplus \mathcal{T}'$ , respectively

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}' \in V_{h} \oplus V'$$

$$p = p_{h} + p' \in P_{h} \oplus P'$$

$$\tau = \tau_{h} + \tau' \in \mathcal{T}_{h} \oplus \mathcal{T}'$$
(Masud & Xia 2005)  
[Cervera & Codina 2010]  
[Hachem et al. 2010]  
find  $(\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}', p_{h} + p', \tau_{h} + \tau') \in V_{h} \oplus V' \times P_{h} \oplus P' \times \mathcal{T}_{h} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{T}}'$  such that  

$$\rho(\delta_{t}(\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}'), \mathbf{w}_{h} + \mathbf{w}') + \rho((\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}') \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}'), \mathbf{w}_{h} + \mathbf{w}') - (p_{h} + p', \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{w}_{h} + \mathbf{w}'))$$

$$+ 2(\eta \varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}'), \varepsilon(\mathbf{w}_{h} + \mathbf{w}')) + (\tau_{h} + \tau', \varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{w}_{h} + \mathbf{w}')) = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{w}_{h} + \mathbf{w}' \rangle$$

$$(q_{h} + q', \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}')) = 0$$

$$-(\xi_{h} + \xi', \varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{v}_{h} + \mathbf{v}')) = 0$$

#### The obtained coarse scale is given by:

$$\rho(\delta_{t}\mathbf{v}_{h},\mathbf{w}_{h}) + \rho(\mathbf{v}_{h}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_{h},\mathbf{w}_{h}) - (p_{h}+p',\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}_{h}) + 2(\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_{h}),\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}_{h})) + (\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h}+\boldsymbol{\tau}',\boldsymbol{\xi}_{s}(\mathbf{w}_{h}))) \\ + \sum_{K}(\mathbf{v}',-\rho\mathbf{v}_{h}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{w}_{h} - \nabla\cdot(2\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}_{h})))_{K} = \langle \mathbf{f},\mathbf{w}_{h} \rangle \\ (q_{h},\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_{h}) - \sum_{K}(\mathbf{v}',\nabla q_{h})_{K} = 0 \\ -(\varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{v}_{h}),\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}) + \sum_{K}(\mathbf{v}',\chi_{s}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h})_{K} = 0$$

# Three-field SFEM for fluid-structure interaction

# Fluid: high Reynolds number (implicit VMS-LES) Structure rigid or elastic

The subscales may be approximated within each element K by:  $\mathbf{v}' = \alpha_v \Pi'_v(\mathcal{R}_v), \quad p' = \alpha_p \Pi'_p(\mathcal{R}_p), \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}' = \alpha_\tau \Pi'_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}})$ 

Inserting the expression for the subscales, we finally obtain the stabilized finite element problem:

$$\begin{split} \rho(\delta_{t}\mathbf{v}_{h},\mathbf{w}_{h}) &+ \rho(\mathbf{v}_{h}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_{h},\mathbf{w}_{h}) - (p_{h},\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}_{h}) + 2(\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_{h}),\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}_{h})) + (\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h},\varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{w}_{h})) \\ &+ \sum_{K} \alpha_{v}(\rho\delta_{t}\mathbf{v}_{h} + \underline{\rho\mathbf{v}_{h}}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_{h} + \nabla p_{h} - \chi_{s}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} - \nabla\cdot(2\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_{h})), \underline{\rho\mathbf{v}_{h}}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{w}_{h} + \nabla\cdot(2\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}_{h})))_{K} \\ &+ \sum_{K} \alpha_{p}(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_{h},\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}_{h}) + \sum_{K} \alpha_{\tau}(\varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{v}_{h}),\varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{w}_{h})) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{f},\mathbf{w}_{h} \rangle + \sum_{K} \alpha_{v}(\mathbf{f},\rho\mathbf{v}_{h}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{w}_{h} + 2\eta\nabla\cdot\varepsilon(\mathbf{w}_{h}))_{K} \\ (q_{h},\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_{h}) + \sum_{K} \alpha_{v}(\rho\delta_{t}\mathbf{v}_{h} + \rho\mathbf{v}_{h}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_{h} + \nabla p_{h} - \chi_{s}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} - \nabla\cdot(2\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_{h})), \nabla q_{h})_{K} \\ &= \sum_{K} \alpha_{v}(\mathbf{f},\nabla q_{h})_{K} \\ - (\varepsilon_{s}(\mathbf{v}_{h}),\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}) + \sum_{K} \alpha_{v}(\rho\delta_{t}\mathbf{v}_{h} + \rho\mathbf{v}_{h}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_{h} + \nabla p_{h} - \chi_{s}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} - \nabla\cdot(2\eta\varepsilon(\mathbf{v}_{h})), -\chi_{s}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h})_{K} \\ &= \sum_{K} \alpha_{v}(\mathbf{f},-\chi_{s}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h})_{K} \end{split}$$

# Combining anisotropic meshing and SFEM



# New benchmark (tabulated results in 2D and 3D)





# Numerical examples:

#### [Phd S. Feghali, 2011-2013]

#### Oscillating disk in a channel



Fictitious boundary and moving mesh methods for the numerical simulation of rigid particulate flows Decheng et al. 2007]



Norm of velocity at t=18.9s (left) and t=21s (right)



Drag coefficients for one oscillating circular cylinder in a channel

Table II. Terminal velocity for the falling cylinder problem.

$\eta_{\mathrm{f}}$	Reference [47] 160 × 640	h = 0.002	h = 0.001	h = 0.0005	h = 0.00025	Convergence order
0.1	-0.1966	-0.1503069	-0.167770	-0.19230704	-0.196834	$\begin{array}{c} \sim 2.3 \\ \sim 1.8 \\ \sim 1.7 \end{array}$
0.2	-0.1417	-0.10756319	-0.12367	-0.13596088	-0.14087306	
0.5	-0.06721	-0.04301721	-0.0579240	-0.0645362	-0.066432	

Robinson A, Schroeder C, Fedkiw R. A symmetric positive definite formulation for monolithic fluid structure interaction. Journal of Computational Physics 2010; 230(4):1547–1566.

#### Falling disk in a channel



# Flow past a NACA0012 with VMS

# Fluent Presentwork 23876 nodes 10000 nodes Cd 0.0561 0.05577



Reynolds 500000



# Turbulent flows past an immersed complex geometries





# Turbulent flows past an immersed complex geometries



User parameters: -Velocity -Angle of attack

Solution:

- -Drag
- Lift
- Pressure
- Velocity









# Parallel anisotropic adaptation with moving embedded geometries

A posteriori edge-based error estimator Anisotropic Meshing with highly stretched elements Adaptive Time-Stepping and Computational Stability



# Parallel anisotropic adaptation with moving embedded geometries





# Multiphase flows

- Quenching process
- Turbulent boiling
- Phase change
- vapor film bubbles growth and expansion
- surface tension



3D quenching of an ingot inside a water tank with agitation (no phase change is considered)









# Heat treatment of six ingots inside a furnace (96 cores)



Industeel







# Extreme cases

- ~22 million nodes
- ~120 million elements











# ■ Thank you for your attention (chin chin)

#### **Turbulent multiphase flows**





Numerical