

# HPC and AI benchmark workflow and objectives

Benchmarking from vendor point of view





an atos business

© BULL SAS – Confidential

## Abstract

- In this talk, HPC and AI benchmark activity will be presented from a vendor context and point of view:
  - What are the different steps in benchmark activities?
- An opening will be made on the main question: to what end?
  - What is the objective ?





## **Content overview**

#### 01

HPC and AI benchmark Workflow

#### 02

HPC and AI benchmark Objectives





## 01 HPC and AI benchmark Worklow



- Overall benchmark activity is typically 6 to 12 weeks
- Many different steps:
  - Run "as is" to get a baseline
  - Basic profiles
  - Targeted profiles



Benchmark activity requires a lot of preparation!



- System (build large "N" cluster, "N+1" sample nodes)
- Technology (Work with providers AMD, Graphcore, Intel, NVIDIA, ...)
- Methodology (MPI, directives, container ...)



Benchmark is only part of a bigger activity





© BULL SAS - Confidential

## **Benchmark activities**

#### **Best Practicies**



#### **Best Practices**

- Ready-to-use applications:
  - Source code available and/or Licenses pre-negotiated with ISV
- Reasonable in terms of system size / runtime / memory (while representative of an actual workload):
  - Runtime: ~10-60 minutes (at a representative scale)
  - Scale for CPU: 1000s of cores, 64-(low) 100s of nodes
  - Scale for GPU: 16-64s of GPUs, 10s of nodes
- Reduced test case available (to run on ~single node)
- Small I/O component (Difficult to keep coherency between various benchmark environments)
- Clear criteria (elapsed time, loop time, energy, ...)

#### What is to be avoided

- Artificial long workload
  - Multiple small iterations that won't scale
- Grid specific :
  - Application/test case that fits a very specific decomposition
- "too-far scale":
  - Projections from 100nodes to 1000 or 10k nodes requires extended work on applications
  - It will more likely include heavy source code modifications
  - Estimation work would be very long (1-2 years)
  - Typical work for post-sales activity
- Applications and architecture requirement mismatch:
  - E.g.: non-GPU application and GPU only system

### eviden



• Benchmark is directed by requirements





## 02 HPC and AI benchmark Objective

## HPC and AI Benchmark purpose

Give the best number!

The subject of the benchmark (?)





## **HPC and AI Benchmark purpose**

#### Give the best number!

- What is measured
  - Pure performance
  - Performance/€
  - Performance/watt
  - Performance/m<sup>2</sup>
  - Energy
  - Price

• ...

• Carbon footprint



## **Example of benchmark study**

#### **Best Energy To Solution**



HPC Benchmark study: reaching best Energy To Solution



## **HPC and AI benchmark**

Vendor perspective takeaways

- Main objective is, still, to fit a contract
  - The harder to estimate the performance, the higher vendor risk margin will be
  - Clear **benchmark scoring** ease estimations
- Benchmark should represent what you are expecting not what you have
- Vendors are open to discuss **future technologies and timelines**



## EVIDEN

# Questions



# Thank you!

Confidential information owned by BULL SAS, to be used by the recipient only. This document, or any part of it, may not be reproduced, copied, circulated and/or distributed nor quoted without prior written approval from BULL SAS.

© BULL SAS – Confidential